

2019 EQUALIZATION STUDY FOR 2020 VALUES



Prepared by:

Macomb County Equalization Department Kristen M. Sieloff, MMAO, ASA - Director

State Tax Commission Requirements of the Equalization Director

The Equalization process is vital to guaranteeing that properties are assessed at 50% of market value as is required by Article IX, Section 3 of the Michigan Constitution of 1963. County Equalization serves as a check on assessments determined at the local level, ensuring they are fair and equitable across the County.

The responsibilities of County Equalization rest primarily with each County's Board of Commissioners. Recognizing the technical and difficult nature of equalization duties, the State, through the General Property Tax Act, requires each County to employ a certified Equalization Director to assist and advise the Board in fulfilling their duties.

In addition to the general purpose of ensuring fair and equitable assessments, statutory requirements of County Equalization include the collection and analysis of sales data, providing assessment assistance to local units, and providing the State Tax Commission with annual reports.

The purpose of this document is to provide County officials with information regarding the statutory and State Tax Commission guidelines for County Equalization. The policies can be found in the General Property Tax Act of 1893, as amended and State Tax Commission rules and publications.

BASIC REQUIREMENTS

Each County must establish a Department of Equalization and appoint a Director of that department. R 209.41 (1), MCL 211.34 (3)

The Director of the Equalization Department is to be appointed by and serve the County Board of Commissioners. MCL 211.10d (11)

The Director of the Equalization Department must be certified by the State Tax Commission at the level required by the State Tax Commission. MCL 211.10d (11)

Two or more Counties may jointly establish a shared Equalization Department. Any joint Equalization Department must assist the Boards of Commissioners of each County in meeting the requirements of the General Property Tax Act and State Tax Commission rules and policy. MCL 211.34b

ANNUAL DEADLINES

By **the third Monday in February**, the Equalization Director must publish a statement showing the tentative recommended equalization ratios and estimated multipliers necessary to compute individual state equalized value of real and personal property for each classification in each local unit. MCL 211.34a (1)

By the Wednesday following first Monday in April or the tenth day after adjournment of the March Board of Review which ever occurs first the Equalization Director must receive the completed assessment roll from each local unit of government. MCL 211.30 (6)

By **the second Monday in April**, the Equalization Director must prepare a report that recommends the equalized value of each class of real and personal property for each local unit and present it to the County board of Commissioners. R 209.41 (6)

By **the third Monday in April**, the Equalization Director must file form L-4023, reporting the final recommended state equalized values for each class, with the State Tax Commission for each local unit. R 209.41 (6), MCL 211.150(4)

By **the first Monday in May**, Deadline to file official County Board of Commissioners report of County Equalization (L-4024) with the STC. R 209.52(5)

By **the first Monday in May**, the Equalization Director must compute these amounts and the current and immediately preceding year's taxable values for each classification of property that is for each unit of local government in the County. This must be filed with the State Tax Commission. R 209.5(2) MCL 211.34d (2)

By **the first Monday in June,** the Equalization Director must deliver the statement of the computations to the county treasurer. The county equalization director must also calculate the millage reduction fraction for each unit of local government in the county for the current year. MCL 211.34d (3)

By the **fourth Monday in June**, the Equalization Director must report all of the following to the State Tax Commission: MCL 211.27d

- (a) Total taxable value of all property in the county as of the fourth Monday in May.
- (b) Total taxable value for each classification of real and personal property.
- (c) Total taxable value of all property in the county that receives a principal residence exemption or qualified agricultural property exemption.
- (d) Total taxable value of all property in the county for which a principal residence exemption or a qualified agricultural property exemption has not been granted.

By **June 30**, the Equalization Director shall file an interim status report with the State Tax Commission. R 209.41 (4)

By **November 1**, the Equalization Director must deliver the year's sales studies to the State Tax Commission.

By **October 31**st, the Equalization Director submits apportionment (L-4402) to the STC. MCL 211.37 and 207.12

By **December 1**, the Equalization Director must report equalization studies to the assessors in each township and city.

By **December 31,** the Equalization Director shall prepare and submit one copy of the equalization study to the County Board of Commissions and another to the State Tax Commission. R 209.41 (5)

TOTAL REAL and PERSONAL PROPERTY*

Government Unit	2019 Assessment	2020 True Cash Value	2020 50% of True Cash Value	Previous Year Ending True Cash Value (2019 L-4023)
Cities				
Center Line	191,760,300	425,258,907	212,629,453	384,462,373
Eastpointe	609,061,926	1,355,922,829	677,961,415	1,230,067,177
Fraser	580,343,980	1,237,007,734	618,503,867	1,171,126,715
Memphis	24,762,700	51,305,670	25,652,835	49,901,927
Mount Clemens	418,849,019	926,605,663	463,302,832	843,316,085
New Baltimore	502,721,866	1,064,103,736	532,051,868	1,006,298,453
Richmond	231,699,700	490,427,248	245,213,624	467,501,002
Roseville	1,160,941,700	2,556,908,908	1,278,454,454	2,340,893,125
St. Clair Shores	2,182,251,209	4,756,445,623	2,378,222,812	4,380,730,134
Sterling Heights	5,798,698,325	12,364,291,099	6,182,145,550	11,604,777,385
Utica	262,689,843	547,999,985	273,999,993	526,974,636
Warren	4,397,770,780	9,469,700,926	4,734,850,463	8,833,556,806
Townships				
Armada	396,140,500	829,293,684	414,646,842	795,622,653
Bruce	630,461,556	1,350,126,828	675,063,414	1,271,023,022
Chesterfield	2,113,460,900	4,503,600,550	2,251,800,275	4,277,191,174
Clinton	3,657,133,500	7,758,752,428	3,879,376,214	7,370,826,616
Harrison	1,190,069,559	2,527,693,468	1,263,846,734	2,398,040,719
Grosse Pte Shores	14,742,300	31,859,335	15,929,668	29,575,917
Lenox	389,536,740	817,215,403	408,607,702	781,340,560
Macomb	4,416,477,040	9,374,209,105	4,687,104,552	8,866,866,759
Ray	275,548,800	580,359,157	290,179,579	552,642,023
Richmond	235,254,685	488,654,898	244,327,449	474,621,018
Shelby	4,440,752,930	9,393,795,324	4,696,897,662	8,964,349,766
Washington	1,768,818,725	3,719,031,886	1,859,515,943	3,551,636,466
Total County	35,889,948,583	76,620,570,394	38,310,285,197	72,173,342,513

Required Percent Increase to 50% of 2020 True Cash Value

6.74%

^{*} The 2020 True Cash Values and percent change shown in this chart are overstated due to the legislative changes for reporting exempt manufacturing personal property (EMPP). Final numbers will not be known until after exemption forms are timely filled with the local unit of government and approved by the Board of Review at its March session.

TOTAL REAL PROPERTY

Government Unit	2019 Assessment	2020 True Cash Value	2020 50% of True Cash Value	Previous Year Ending True Cash Value (2019 L-4023)
Cities				
Center Line	176,387,700	394,509,982	197,254,991	353,708,540
Eastpointe	568,822,026	1,275,333,722	637,666,861	1,149,146,161
Fraser	546,783,600	1,169,834,428	584,917,214	1,103,990,337
Memphis	22,786,200	47,352,781	23,676,391	45,948,892
Mount Clemens	395,513,709	879,707,201	439,853,601	796,603,567
New Baltimore	492,181,366	1,042,975,107	521,487,554	985,205,334
Richmond	221,307,800	469,594,987	234,797,494	446,687,910
Roseville	1,082,734,400	2,400,383,636	1,200,191,818	2,184,247,364
St. Clair Shores	2,119,272,509	4,630,500,471	2,315,250,236	4,254,760,572
Sterling Heights	5,519,008,425	11,804,557,795	5,902,278,898	11,044,868,655
Utica	244,271,443	511,131,460	255,565,730	490,132,607
Warren	4,039,911,129	8,753,778,731	4,376,889,366	8,117,636,140
Townships				
Armada	291,072,000	619,155,178	309,577,589	585,484,082
Bruce	583,219,856	1,255,641,788	627,820,894	1,176,531,314
Chesterfield	2,026,452,900	4,329,569,308	2,164,784,654	4,102,726,297
Clinton	3,512,592,700	7,469,677,000	3,734,838,500	7,081,676,801
Harrison	1,169,106,559	2,485,760,752	1,242,880,376	2,355,998,383
Grosse Pte Shores	14,395,100	31,164,971	15,582,486	28,881,349
Lenox	349,612,440	737,373,333	368,686,667	701,447,601
Macomb	4,300,732,940	9,142,564,227	4,571,282,114	8,635,330,382
Ray	254,793,600	538,850,033	269,425,017	511,131,828
Richmond	200,677,385	419,486,065	209,743,033	405,466,418
Shelby	4,287,667,180	9,087,624,120	4,543,812,060	8,657,494,978
Washington	1,669,409,125	3,520,183,261	1,760,091,631	3,352,793,376
Total County	34,088,712,092	73,016,710,337	36,508,355,169	68,567,898,890

Required Percent Increase to 50% of 2020 True Cash Value

7.10%

TOTAL AGRICULTURAL CLASS

			2020	2020	Previous Year
0	2019	Dette	True Cash	50% of True	Ending True Cash Value (2019 L-4023)
Government Unit	Assessment	Ratio	Value	Cash Value	Value (2019 L-4023)
Cities					
Center Line					
Eastpointe					
Fraser					
Memphis					
Mount Clemens					
New Baltimore					
Richmond					
Roseville					
St. Clair Shores					
Sterling Heights					
Utica					
Warren					
Townships					
Armada	35,355,200	48.86%	72,360,213	36,180,106	70,837,784
Bruce	19,114,800	47.32%	40,394,759	20,197,380	38,313,784
Chesterfield	7,334,700	48.19%	15,220,378	7,610,189	14,820,445
Clinton					
Harrison					
Grosse Pte Shores					
Lenox	44,567,700	47.14%	94,543,275	47,271,638	89,578,472
Macomb	9,924,100	50.41%	19,686,769	9,843,384	19,867,858
Ray	42,015,200	50.03%	83,980,012	41,990,006	84,118,391
Richmond	37,515,800	50.68%	74,024,862	37,012,431	75,197,232
Shelby					
Washington	16,846,700	50.77%	33,182,391	16,591,196	33,699,503
Total County	212,674,200	49.07%	433,392,658	216,696,329	426,433,469

Required Percent Increase to 50% of 2020 True Cash Value

1.89%

TOTAL COMMERCIAL CLASS

Government Unit	2019 Assessment	Ratio	2020 True Cash Value	2020 50% of True Cash Value	Previous Year Ending True Cash Value (2019 L-4023)
Cities					
Center Line	53,351,000	48.32%	110,411,838	55,205,919	107,118,466
Eastpointe	96,384,240	49.99%	192,807,041	96,403,521	196,457,389
Fraser	78,794,100	48.40%	162,797,727	81,398,864	159,411,183
Memphis	4,400,400	49.80%	8,836,145	4,418,073	8,837,420
Mount Clemens	99,195,600	46.72%	212,319,349	106,159,675	199,489,784
New Baltimore	40,343,300	45.99%	87,721,896	43,860,948	80,743,523
Richmond	44,206,300	49.46%	89,377,881	44,688,941	89,858,229
Roseville	300,525,700	48.23%	623,109,475	311,554,738	606,091,432
St. Clair Shores	268,835,066	47.56%	565,254,554	282,627,277	538,351,820
Sterling Heights	889,298,000	45.44%	1,957,081,866	978,540,933	1,780,997,279
Utica	132,798,400	48.48%	273,924,092	136,962,046	266,654,069
Warren	663,434,110	48.32%	1,373,001,055	686,500,528	1,330,527,411
Townships					
Armada	12,657,200	49.38%	25,632,240	12,816,120	25,542,302
Bruce	18,625,100	48.71%	38,236,707	19,118,354	37,341,479
Chesterfield	244,922,500	48.19%	508,221,511	254,110,756	494,962,360
Clinton	798,180,300	48.72%	1,638,301,108	819,150,554	1,599,853,563
Harrison	111,793,300	47.63%	234,711,946	117,355,973	225,166,022
Grosse Pte Shores					
Lenox	57,543,800	48.12%	119,583,957	59,791,979	115,430,355
Macomb	240,899,200	45.67%	527,477,994	263,738,997	482,558,840
Ray	9,567,700	51.89%	18,438,427	9,219,214	19,313,726
Richmond	5,551,500	46.53%	11,931,012	5,965,506	11,225,488
Shelby	639,982,850	47.81%	1,338,596,214	669,298,107	1,293,645,769
Washington	135,064,900	47.64%	283,511,545	141,755,773	273,911,688
Total County	4,946,354,566	47.56%	10,401,285,580	5,200,642,790	9,943,489,597

Required Percent Increase to 50% of 2020 True Cash Value

5.14%

TOTAL INDUSTRIAL CLASS

Government Unit	2019 Assessment	Ratio	2020 True Cash Value	2020 50% of True Cash Value	Previous Year Ending True Cash Value (2019 L-4023)
Cities					
Center Line	20,716,500	47.18%	43,909,881	21,954,941	41,538,336
Eastpointe	2,319,200	40.30%	5,754,839	2,877,420	4,662,859
Fraser	94,224,900	46.44%	202,895,995	101,447,998	189,529,529
Memphis	404,500	49.81%	812,086	406,043	809,780
Mount Clemens	33,941,200	45.51%	74,579,653	37,289,827	68,221,916
New Baltimore	15,677,400	46.76%	33,527,374	16,763,687	31,526,038
Richmond	6,968,000	49.41%	14,102,408	7,051,204	14,034,496
Roseville	67,951,300	46.25%	146,921,730	73,460,865	136,164,614
St. Clair Shores	11,910,700	48.56%	24,527,801	12,263,901	23,842,198
Sterling Heights	530,518,350	47.28%	1,122,077,728	561,038,864	1,063,999,062
Utica	2,412,900	48.58%	4,966,859	2,483,430	4,846,427
Warren	662,623,930	46.19%	1,434,561,442	717,280,721	1,326,156,076
Townships			. — . — . — . — . — .		
Armada	16,671,800	48.01%	34,725,682	17,362,841	33,426,753
Bruce	69,303,900	49.25%	140,718,579	70,359,290	139,156,321
Chesterfield	156,753,100	46.97%	333,730,253	166,865,127	316,198,994
Clinton	175,931,500	46.67%	376,969,145	188,484,573	354,952,809
Harrison	35,924,500	47.54%	75,566,891	37,783,446	72,283,868
Grosse Pte Shores					
Lenox	22,613,100	49.84%	45,371,388	22,685,694	45,419,976
Macomb	72,758,300	45.39%	160,295,880	80,147,940	145,650,486
Ray	5,925,600	48.72%	12,162,562	6,081,281	11,872,489
Richmond	2,064,600	50.06%	4,124,251	2,062,126	4,150,525
Shelby	241,547,700	45.48%	531,107,520	265,553,760	487,202,237
Washington	31,201,700	48.35%	64,532,989	32,266,495	62,513,195
Total County	2,280,364,680	46.65%	4,887,942,937	2,443,971,468	4,578,158,984

Required Percent Increase to 50% of 2020 True Cash Value

7.17%

TOTAL RESIDENTIAL CLASS

Government Unit	2019 Assessment	Ratio	2020 True Cash Value	2020 50% of True Cash Value	Previous Year Ending True Cash Value (2019 L-4023)
Cities					
Center Line	102,320,200	42.60%	240,188,263	120,094,131	205,051,738
Eastpointe	470,118,586	43.66%	1,076,771,842	538,385,921	948,025,913
Fraser	373,764,600	46.48%	804,140,706	402,070,353	755,049,625
Memphis	17,981,300	47.69%	37,704,550	18,852,275	36,301,691
Mount Clemens	262,376,909	44.26%	592,808,199	296,404,100	528,891,867
New Baltimore	436,160,666	47.32%	921,725,837	460,862,919	872,935,773
Richmond	170,133,500	46.47%	366,114,698	183,057,349	342,795,185
Roseville	714,257,400	43.81%	1,630,352,431	815,176,216	1,441,991,318
St. Clair Shores	1,838,526,743	45.50%	4,040,718,116	2,020,359,058	3,692,566,554
Sterling Heights	4,099,192,075	46.98%	8,725,398,201	4,362,699,101	8,199,872,314
Utica	109,060,143	46.96%	232,240,509	116,120,255	218,632,111
Warren	2,713,853,089	45.64%	5,946,216,234	2,973,108,117	5,460,952,653
Townships					
Armada	226,387,800	46.54%	486,437,043	243,218,522	455,677,243
Bruce	476,176,056	45.95%	1,036,291,743	518,145,872	961,719,730
Chesterfield	1,617,442,600	46.58%	3,472,397,166	1,736,198,583	3,276,744,498
Clinton	2,538,480,900	46.54%	5,454,406,747	2,727,203,374	5,126,870,429
Harrison	1,021,388,759	46.95%	2,175,481,915	1,087,740,958	2,058,548,493
Grosse Pte Shores	14,395,100	46.19%	31,164,971	15,582,486	28,881,349
Lenox	224,887,840	47.06%	477,874,713	238,937,357	451,018,798
Macomb	3,977,151,340	47.15%	8,435,103,584	4,217,551,792	7,987,253,198
Ray	197,285,100	46.50%	424,269,032	212,134,516	395,827,222
Richmond	155,545,485	47.22%	329,405,940	164,702,970	314,893,173
Shelby	3,406,136,630	47.19%	7,217,920,386	3,608,960,193	6,876,646,972
Washington	1,486,295,825	47.35%	3,138,956,336	1,569,478,168	2,982,668,990
Total County	26,649,318,646	46.51%	57,294,089,163	28,647,044,581	53,619,816,840

Required Percent Increase to 50% of 2020 True Cash Value

7.50%

TOTAL PERSONAL PROPERTY*

Government Unit	2019 Assessment	Ratio	2020 True Cash Value	2020 50% of True Cash Value	Previous Year Ending True Cash Value (2019 L-4023)
	Assessment	Natio	Value	Casii value	Value (2010 2 4020)
Cities	45 070 000	40.000/	20.740.005	45 074 400	20.752.022
Center Line	15,372,600	49.99%	30,748,925	15,374,463	30,753,833
Eastpointe	40,239,900	49.93%	80,589,107	40,294,554	80,921,016
Fraser	33,560,380	49.96%	67,173,306	33,586,653	67,136,378
Memphis	1,976,500	50.00%	3,952,889	1,976,445	3,953,035
Mount Clemens	23,335,310	49.76%	46,898,462	23,449,231	46,712,518
New Baltimore	10,540,500	49.89%	21,128,629	10,564,315	21,093,119
Richmond	10,391,900	49.88%	20,832,261	10,416,131	20,813,092
Roseville	78,207,300	49.96%	156,525,272	78,262,636	156,645,761
St. Clair Shores	62,978,700	50.00%	125,945,152	62,972,576	125,969,562
Sterling Heights	279,689,900	49.97%	559,733,304	279,866,652	559,908,730
Utica	18,418,400	49.96%	36,868,525	18,434,263	36,842,029
Warren	357,859,651	49.99%	715,922,195	357,961,098	715,920,666
Townships					
Armada	105,068,500	50.00%	210,138,506	105,069,253	210,138,571
Bruce	47,241,700	50.00%	94,485,040	47,242,520	94,491,708
Chesterfield	87,008,000	50.00%	174,031,242	87,015,621	174,464,877
Clinton	144,540,800	50.00%	289,075,428	144,537,714	289,149,815
Harrison	20,963,000	49.99%	41,932,716	20,966,358	42,042,336
Grosse Pte Shores	347,200	50.00%	694,364	347,182	694,568
Lenox	39,924,300	50.00%	79,842,070	39,921,035	79,892,959
Macomb	115,744,100	49.97%	231,644,878	115,822,439	231,536,377
Ray	20,755,200	50.00%	41,509,124	20,754,562	41,510,195
Richmond	34,577,300	49.99%	69,168,833	34,584,417	69,154,600
Shelby	153,085,750	50.00%	306,171,204	153,085,602	306,854,788
Washington	99,409,600	49.99%	198,848,625	99,424,313	198,843,090
Total County	1,801,236,491	49.98%	3,603,860,056	1,801,930,028	3,605,443,623

Required Percent Increase to 50% of 2020 True Cash Value

0.04%

^{*} The 2020 True Cash Values and percent change shown in this chart are overstated due to the legislative changes for reporting exempt manufacturing personal property (EMPP). Final numbers will not be known until after exemption forms are timely filled with the local unit of government and approved by the Board of Review at its March session.

TOTAL COMMERCIAL PERSONAL PROPERTY

Government Unit	2019 Assessment	Ratio	2020 True Cash Value	2020 50% of True Cash Value	Previous Year Ending True Cash Value (2019 L-4023)
Cities					
Center Line	6,721,700	49.99%	13,446,089	6,723,045	13,451,471
Eastpointe	9,673,200	49.77%	19,435,805	9,717,903	19,373,523
Fraser	13,130,100	49.90%	26,312,826	13,156,413	26,275,966
Memphis	246,100	50.00%	492,200	246,100	492,102
Mount Clemens	11,987,410	49.65%	24,143,827	12,071,914	24,013,241
New Baltimore	3,495,000	49.71%	7,030,779	3,515,390	6,998,399
Richmond	6,642,500	49.82%	13,332,999	6,666,500	13,314,292
Roseville	43,222,800	49.94%	86,549,459	43,274,730	86,584,135
St. Clair Shores	26,405,500	50.01%	52,800,440	26,400,220	52,821,564
Sterling Heights	117,295,700	49.99%	234,638,328	117,319,164	234,967,347
Utica	13,064,900	49.96%	26,150,721	13,075,361	26,135,028
Warren	167,710,810	49.98%	335,555,842	167,777,921	335,622,994
Townships					
Armada	1,866,500	49.98%	3,734,494	1,867,247	3,734,494
Bruce	5,096,900	50.00%	10,193,800	5,096,900	10,201,961
Chesterfield	38,189,500	49.99%	76,394,279	38,197,140	76,809,132
Clinton	77,554,900	50.00%	155,109,800	77,554,900	155,171,868
Harrison	5,676,800	49.97%	11,360,416	5,680,208	11,468,283
Grosse Pte Shores	32,900	50.00%	65,800	32,900	65,800
Lenox	7,371,000	49.98%	14,747,899	7,373,950	14,786,359
Macomb	35,458,100	49.89%	71,072,560	35,536,280	70,958,775
Ray	2,409,400	50.01%	4,817,836	2,408,918	4,818,800
Richmond	1,065,000	49.72%	2,141,995	1,070,998	2,130,000
Shelby	68,999,450	50.00%	137,998,900	68,999,450	138,386,382
Washington	14,918,600	49.95%	29,867,067	14,933,534	29,861,090
Total County	678,234,770	49.97%	1,357,394,160	678,697,080	1,358,443,006

Required Percent Increase to 50% of 2020 True Cash Value

0.07%

TOTAL INDUSTRIAL PERSONAL PROPERTY*

Government Unit	2019 Assessment	Ratio	2020 True Cash Value	2020 50% of True Cash Value	Previous Year Ending True Cash Value (2019 L-4023)
Cities					
Center Line	2,980,100	49.99%	5,961,392	2,980,696	5,960,200
Eastpointe	442,800	48.91%	905,336	452,668	886,131
Fraser	11,621,280	50.00%	23,242,560	11,621,280	23,242,560
Memphis	1,287,800	50.00%	2,575,666	1,287,833	2,575,620
Mount Clemens	2,046,000	49.29%	4,150,943	2,075,472	4,095,277
New Baltimore	1,894,900	49.91%	3,796,634	1,898,317	3,793,593
Richmond	596,700	49.98%	1,193,878	596,939	1,193,400
Roseville	12,146,000	49.99%	24,296,859	12,148,430	24,384,661
St. Clair Shores	746,200	49.99%	1,492,699	746,350	1,493,894
Sterling Heights	76,402,300	49.90%	153,110,822	76,555,411	152,957,557
Utica	90,800	47.30%	191,973	95,987	181,600
Warren	85,823,942	49.98%	171,716,571	85,858,286	171,647,884
Townships			. — . — . — . — . — . — .		
Armada	5,492,800	50.00%	10,985,600	5,492,800	10,985,600
Bruce	5,984,700	50.00%	11,969,400	5,984,700	11,969,400
Chesterfield	23,085,500	50.00%	46,171,000	23,085,500	46,189,476
Clinton	15,527,100	50.01%	31,047,990	15,523,995	31,060,412
Harrison	1,891,400	50.00%	3,782,800	1,891,400	3,784,313
Grosse Pte Shores					
Lenox	8,566,500	50.04%	17,119,305	8,559,653	17,133,000
Macomb	14,531,000	50.00%	29,062,000	14,531,000	29,067,813
Ray					
Richmond	71,700	49.16%	145,850	72,925	143,400
Shelby	17,023,100	50.00%	34,046,200	17,023,100	34,341,537
Washington	27,957,900	50.00%	55,915,800	27,957,900	55,915,800
Total County	316,210,522	49.96%	632,881,278	316,440,639	633,003,128

Required Percent Increase to 50% of 2020 True Cash Value

0.07%

^{*} The 2020 True Cash Values and percent change shown in this chart are overstated due to the legislative changes for reporting exempt manufacturing personal property (EMPP). Final numbers will not be known until after exemption forms are timely filled with the local unit of government and approved by the Board of Review at its March session.

TOTAL UTILITY PERSONAL PROPERTY

Government Unit	2019 Assessment	Ratio	2020 True Cash Value	2020 50% of True Cash Value	Previous Year Ending True Cash Value (2019 L-4023)
Cities					
Center Line	5,670,800	50.00%	11,341,444	5,670,722	11,342,162
Eastpointe	30,123,900	50.00%	60,247,966	30,123,983	60,661,362
Fraser	8,809,000	50.00%	17,617,920	8,808,960	17,617,852
Memphis	442,600	50.01%	885,023	442,512	885,313
Mount Clemens	9,301,900	50.00%	18,603,692	9,301,846	18,604,000
New Baltimore	5,150,600	50.00%	10,301,216	5,150,608	10,301,127
Richmond	3,152,700	50.00%	6,305,384	3,152,692	6,305,400
Roseville	22,838,500	50.00%	45,678,954	22,839,477	45,676,965
St. Clair Shores	35,827,000	50.00%	71,652,013	35,826,007	71,654,104
Sterling Heights	85,991,900	50.00%	171,984,154	85,992,077	171,983,826
Utica	5,262,700	50.00%	10,525,831	5,262,916	10,525,401
Warren	104,324,899	50.00%	208,649,782	104,324,891	208,649,788
Townships					
Armada	97,709,200	50.00%	195,418,412	97,709,206	195,418,477
Bruce	36,160,100	50.00%	72,321,840	36,160,920	72,320,347
Chesterfield	25,733,000	50.00%	51,465,963	25,732,982	51,466,269
Clinton	51,458,800	50.00%	102,917,638	51,458,819	102,917,535
Harrison	13,394,800	50.00%	26,789,500	13,394,750	26,789,740
Grosse Pte Shores	314,300	50.00%	628,564	314,282	628,768
Lenox	23,986,800	50.00%	47,974,866	23,987,433	47,973,600
Macomb	65,755,000	50.00%	131,510,318	65,755,159	131,509,789
Ray	18,345,800	50.00%	36,691,288	18,345,644	36,691,395
Richmond	33,440,600	50.00%	66,880,988	33,440,494	66,881,200
Shelby	67,063,200	50.00%	134,126,104	67,063,052	134,126,869
Washington	56,533,100	50.00%	113,065,758	56,532,879	113,066,200
Total County	806,791,199	50.00%	1,613,584,618	806,792,309	1,613,997,489

Required Percent Increase to 50% of 2020 True Cash Value

0.00%

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		ENTIRE MA	COMB COUNTY		2019	2020
Assessment Ro Class of Real Property	Il Classification Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	Sample True Cash Value	Assessed Value	% Ratio Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Clas
100 Agricultural	212,674,200	Tarceis			49.07%	433,392,658	AS
200 Commercial	4,946,354,566				47.56%	10,401,285,580	AS
300 Industrial	2,280,364,680				46.65%	4,887,942,937	AS
400 Residential	26,649,318,646				46.51%	57,294,089,163	S2
500 Timber-Cutover	0				0.00%	0	NC
600 Developmental	0				0.00%	0	NC
TOTAL - REAL	34,088,712,092				46.69%	73,016,710,337	
NW: New Class	CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal explain)		S1: One Year Sales Stud S2: Two Year Sales Stud OH: One Hundred % Stu	ly	RV: Record Verifi AU: Audit CT: Class Transfe		

County Name		City/Township N	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY	ENTIRE MACOMB COUNTY				2019	2020
Assessment Ro	II Classification	Sample No. of		Sample % Ratio Assessments		True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	to Appraisals	True casii value	Study for Each Class
150 Agricultural	0				0.00%	0	NC
250 Commercial	678,234,770				49.97%	1,357,394,160	AU & RV
350 Industrial	316,210,522				49.96%	632,881,278	AU & RV
450 Residential	0				0.00%	0	NC
550 Utility	806,791,199				50.00%	1,613,584,618	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	1,801,236,491				49.98%	3,603,860,056	
NW: New Class	CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal	;	S1: One Year Sales Study S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study		RV: Record Verific AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer		

ounty Name		City/Township I	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB (COUNTY		CITY OF C	ENTER LINE		2019	2020
Assessment Roll	Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial	53,351,000	47	12,040,703	5,818,000	48.32%	110,411,838	AS
300 Industrial	12,491,500	9	1,636,191	744,300	45.49%	27,459,881	AS
500 muustriai	8,225,000	2	16,450,000	8,225,000	50.00%	16,450,000	stratified
400 Residential	20,716,500 102,320,200				47.18% 42.60%	43,909,881 240,188,263	
	, ,					, ,	
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	176,387,700	311			44.71%	394,509,982	

AS: Appraisal Study

CS: Combined Sales &

S1: One Year Sales Study

RV: Record Verification

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study
OH: One Hundred % Study

AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

Remarks:

The industrial class has been stratified to exclude two parcels belonging to FCA, due to recent MTT decision being deemed reliable indicator of value and accepted as such.

603, Page 2 L-4018R

State Tax Commission Analysis for Equalized Valuation - Real Property Stratified Study

Classification T	ype: 300 Ind	dustrial		County Na	me: Macomb County		Local Unit Na	ame: Center Line				
	Stratified Study Worksheet											
Α	В	С			E	F	G	Н	I			
Sub Group Name	Study Type Code	No. of Parcels Used in Sample		's Assessed Sub Group	Total Assessed Value of Parcels Used in Sample	True Cash Value of Parcels Used in Sampl	Study % e Ratio	Sub Group Projected True Cash Value	Remarks			
FCA US LLC	ES	2	8,225	5,000	8,225,000	16,450,000	50.00 %	16,450,000	Recent MTT decision			
							%					
							%					
							%					
							%					
							%					
							%					
							%					
							%					
		·					%					
STUDY TO	TALS:	J	P	(L	М	N			
determine Strati	See instructions below to determine Stratified Study Totals for J, K, L and M.		5,000			50.00%	1,645,000	Recent MTT decision				

Stratified Study Worksheet Instructions:

When the "Stratified Study" box for a real property class is checked (X) on page 1 of this form, complete the following steps for each Sub Group within the Stratified Study: **NOTE:** A separate "Stratified Study Worksheet" (Page 2) must be completed for each real classification that stratifies a classification's study.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING COLUMNS FOR EACH STRATIFIED STUDY SUB GROUP:

Column A: Enter the appropriate name of the sub group. Note; if stratifying out a single parcel, a parcel number may be used.

Column B: Enter the appropriate code for the study type of the study group sample.

Column C: Enter the number of parcels that are included in the study group sample.

Column D: Enter the current year's assessed value that is applicable to the parcel and sub group(s). **NOTE:** The total for this column should be the total assessed value for the classification (see Column K).

Column E: If an Appraisal Study was conducted, enter the assessed value of the parcels used in the sample. NOTE: For a sales study no data entry required.

Column F: If an Appraisal Study was conducted, enter the true cash value of the parcels used in the sample. NOTE: For a sales study no data entry required.

Column G: Enter the ratio for the sub group. The "Study % Ratio" can be calculated two different ways depending on the type of study conducted: (1) divide "D" by "H" or (2) divide "E" by "F." **NOTE:** For a sales study enter the ratio from Form 2793 (L-4017/L-4047).

Column H: Enter the projected true cash value for an estimated study. For a sales study or appraisal study divide "D" by "G."

Column I: "Remarks": This field is utilized to provide remarks to describe the sub group.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE STRATIFIED STUDY TOTALS:

Column J: Enter the total sum of column C "No. of Parcels Used in Sample".

Column K: Enter the total sum of column D "Current Year's Assessed Value of Sub group". The total in "K" is also entered on page 1 of this Form into the "Assessed Value" field under the "Assessment Roll Classification" heading for the appropriate real property class. NOTE: The total for this column should match the ending assessed value from the current Form 2164 (L-4023) for the classification.

Column L: The total is calculated by dividing the total in "K" by the total in "M." The total "Study % Ratio" is then entered on page 1 of the form into the "% Ratio Assessments to Appraisals" field for the appropriate real property class. NOTE: The total "Study % Ratio" is not equal to the sum of the ratios in column G.

Column M: Enter the total sum of column "H". The total in "M" is then entered on page 1 of the form in the "Projected True Cash Value" field for the classification.

Column N: Enter study remarks (a brief explanation why the stratified study was used). These study remarks should also be entered on page 1 of this form.

ounty Name		City/Township I	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMI	B COUNTY		CENT	ER LINE		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	oll Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		9	825,289	412,200	49.95%		AU
		28	6,623,390	3,311,700			RV
	6,721,700	37	7,448,679	3,723,900	49.99%	13,446,089	
350 Industrial		1	65,004	32,500	50.00%		AU
		16	6,083,084	3,041,000	49.99%		RV
	2,980,100	17	6,148,088	3,073,500	49.99%	5,961,392	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	5,670,800	5	11,341,444	5,670,800	50.00%	11,341,444	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	15,372,600	59			49.99%	30,748,925	

AS: Appraisal Study NW: New Class CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study

S1: One Year Sales Study S2: Two Year Sales Study

RV: Record Verification
AU: Audit

NC: None Classified

RA: Reappraisal

OH: One Hundred % Study

CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		CITY OF I	EASTPOINTE		2019	2020
Assessment Roll	l Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial	96,384,240	78	24,600,924	12,298,300	49.99%	192,807,041	AS
300 Industrial	2,319,200	3	1,163,803	469,000	40.30%	5,754,839	AS
		1.250			10.000		
400 Residential	470,118,586	1,250			43.66%	1,076,771,842	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	568,822,026	1,331			44.60%	1,275,333,722	
	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica		
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
ES: Estimated Values (Explain							
Remarks:							

County Name		City/Township	o Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	B COUNTY		CITY OF E	EASTPOINTE		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	oll Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		8	406,900	202,700	49.82%		AU
		42	6,810,436	3,389,400			RV
	9,673,200	50	7,217,336	3,592,100	49.77%	19,435,805	
350 Industrial		2	143,178	71,600			AU
		4	520,925	253,200	48.61%		RV
	442,800	6	664,103	324,800	48.91%	905,336	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	30,123,900	6	60,247,966	30,123,900	50.00%	60,247,966	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	40,239,900	62			49.93%	80,589,107	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	ation	

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name	The state of the s	City/Township	p Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	S COUNTY	1	CITY O	F FRASER		2019	2020
Assessment Rol	Il Classification	1	Sample		% Ratio		Damarks Tuno of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial	78,794,100	39	25,055,296	12,126,300	48.40%	162,797,727	AS
300 Industrial	94,224,900	34	15,079,644	7,003,100	46.44%	202,895,995	AS
						•	
400 Residential	373,764,600	478	1		46.48%	804,140,706	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
	-		1				
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	546,783,600	551			46.74%	1,169,834,428	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	tion	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
ES: Estimated Values (Explai	in)						
Remarks:							

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	3 COUNTY		CITY O	F FRASER		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	oll Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		7	984,641	478,800	48.63%		AU
		39	13,871,148	6,933,500			RV
	13,130,100	46	14,855,789	7,412,300		26,312,826	
350 Industrial		4	253,235	126,700	50.03%		AU
		22	4,501,607	2,250,900	50.00%		RV
	11,621,280	26	4,754,842	2,377,600	50.00%	23,242,560	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	8,809,000	3	17,617,920	8,809,000	50.00%	17,617,920	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	33,560,380	75			49.96%	67,173,306	
S: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	ation	

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

	City/Townshi	ip Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
COUNTY		CITY OF	MEMPHIS		2019	2020
II Classification	<u> </u>	Sample		% Ratio		Demarks: Type of Study for
Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
						NC
4,400,400	10	2,193,255	1,092,300	49.80%	8,836,145	AS
404 500		1 7/1 600	967.461	40.919/	912.096	AS
404,500	4	1,741,033	807,401	49.0170	812,000	Three (3) St. Clair properties included
					0	in appraisal study
17,981,300	69	10,016,987	4,777,200	47.69%	37,704,550	AS
		1				NC
						INC
-	 	-		-		NC
22,786,200	83			48.12%	47,352,781	
CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study			tion	
Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
iin)						
	Classification	Classification	CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal Sample Sample True Cash Value True Cash Value True Cash Value	COUNTY CITY OF MEMPHIS Il Classification	Classification Sample Assessed Value No. of Parcels True Cash Value Assessed Value Assessments to Appraisals	COUNTY

County Name		City/Township I	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	COUNTY		CITY OF	MEMPHIS		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	II Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		3			50.00%		AU
		46	376,427	188,200	50.00%		RV
	246,100	49	376,427	188,200	50.00%	492,200	
350 Industrial							
		1	2,575,666	1,287,800			RV
	1,287,800	1	2,575,666	1,287,800	50.00%	2,575,666	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	442,600	2	885,023	442,600	50.01%	885,023	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	1,976,500	52			50.00%	3,952,889	

AS: Appraisal Study CS: Combined Sales & S1: One Year Sales Study RV: Record Verification

Appraisal Study NW: New Class S2: Two Year Sales Study AU: Audit NC: None Classified RA: Reappraisal OH: One Hundred % Study CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

THE COMMERCIAL AUDIT STUDY WAS ON FORM 5076-NO TRUE CASH VALUE

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		CITY OF MO	UNT CLEMENS		2019	2020
Assessment Rol	l Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial	99,195,600	52	16,876,019	7,884,500	46.72%	212,319,349	AS
<u> </u>	, ,		, ,	, ,		, .	
300 Industrial	33,941,200	13	4,042,667	1,839,800	45.51%	74,579,653	AS
	33,341,200	13	4,042,007	1,033,000	43.31/0	74,373,033	Λ3
400 Residential	262,376,909	403			44.26%	592,808,199	S2
<u> </u>	-						
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
	-				ļ		
600 Developmental							NC
	-						
TOTAL - REAL	395,513,709	468			44.96%	879,707,201	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	tion	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
ES: Estimated Values (Explai	n)						
Remarks:							

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB (COUNTY		CITY OF MO	UNT CLEMENS		2019	2020
Assessment Roll	Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		12	703,743	339,528	48.25%		AU
		44	2,807,598	1,403,800	50.00%		RV
	11,987,410	56	3,511,341	1,743,328	49.65%	24,143,827	
350 Industrial		2	122,512	61,400	50.12%		AU
		1	151,973	73,900	48.63%		RV
	2,046,000	3	274,485	135,300	49.29%	4,150,943	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	9,301,900	4	18,603,692	9,301,900	50.00%	18,603,692	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	23,335,310	63			49.76%	46,898,462	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	ation	

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name		City/Township) Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		CITY OF NE	W BALTIMORE		2019	2020
Assessment Rol	l Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Domonius Tuno of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial	40,343,300	31	8,899,985	4,092,700	45.99%	87,721,896	AS
300 Industrial	15,677,400	11	5,454,809	2,550,400	46.76%	33,527,374	AS
400 Davidsatis	425,450,555	266			47.220/	024 725 027	62
400 Residential	436,160,666	266			47.32%	921,725,837	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	492,181,366	308			47.19%	1,042,975,107	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	tion	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
S: Estimated Values (Explain	n)						

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year	
MACOMB	COUNTY		CITY OF NE	W BALTIMORE	2019	2020		
Assessment Roll Classification		Sample			% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for	
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class	
150 Agricultural							NC	
250 Commercial		10	517,425	256,300	49.53%		AU	
		25	1,821,411	906,300			RV	
	3,495,000	35	2,338,836	1,162,600	49.71%	7,030,779		
350 Industrial		3	218,792	108,900	49.77%		AU	
		3	335,378	167,700	50.00%		RV	
	1,894,900	6	554,170	276,600	49.91%	3,796,634		
450 Residential							NC	
550 Utility								
	5,150,600	2	10,301,216	5,150,600	50.00%	10,301,216	ОН	
TOTAL - PERSONAL	10,540,500	43			49.89%	21,128,629		
AS: Appraisal Study CS: Combined Sales & S1: One Year Sales Study RV: Record Verification								

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name		City/Townshi	p Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	3 COUNTY		CITY OF	RICHMOND		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	oll Classification	Sample			% Ratio		Domarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial	44,206,300	30	12,582,907	6,223,800	49.46%	89,377,881	AS
300 Industrial	6,968,000	6	3,584,683	1,771,200	49.41%	14,102,408	AS
400 Residential	170,133,500	209			46.47%	366,114,698	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	221,307,800	245			47.13%	469,594,987	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	ntion	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified RA: Reappraisal ES: Estimated Values (Explain)			OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	3 COUNTY		CITY OF	RICHMOND		2019	2020
Assessment Roll Classification			Sample		% Ratio		Domonico Tuno of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		8	515,842	249,500			AU
		20	4,253,467	2,126,700	50.00%		RV
	6,642,500	28	4,769,309	2,376,200	49.82%	13,332,999	
350 Industrial		1	220	100	45.45%		AU
		3	271,883	135,900	49.98%		RV
	596,700	4	272,103	136,000	49.98%	1,193,878	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	3,152,700	4	6,305,384	3,152,700	50.00%	6,305,384	ОН
	-						
TOTAL - PERSONAL	10,391,900	36			49.88%	20,832,261	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verific	cation	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
ES: Estimated Values (Expla	in)						
Remarks:							

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	3 COUNTY		CITY OF	ROSEVILLE		2019	2020
Assessment Ro Class of Real Property	Oll Classification Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	Sample True Cash Value	Assessed Value	% Ratio Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial	300,525,700	117	88,474,316	42,667,300	48.23%	623,109,475	AS
300 Industrial	67,951,300	26	15,454,539	7,148,200	46.25%	146,921,730	AS
400 Residential	714,257,400	1,389			43.81%	1,630,352,431	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	1,082,734,400				45.11%	2,400,383,636	
AS: Appraisal Study NW: New Class NC: None Classified ES: Estimated Values (Expla	CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal ain)		S1: One Year Sales Study S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study		RV: Record Verifica AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer	ation	

	City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
COUNTY	i	CITY OF	ROSEVILLE		2019	2020
II Classification	·	Sample		% Ratio		Bernelle Free (St. 4. fe
Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
	ı					NC
_	20	5 192 520	2 579 900	10 78%		AU
-				\ <u> </u>		RV
43,222,800		↑		\ <u> </u>	86,549,459	
1	3		261,000		,- ,	AU
1	21	3,146,884	1,573,400	 		RV
12,146,000	24	3,669,278	1,834,400	49.99%	24,296,859	
						NC
22,838,500	6	45,678,954	22,838,500	50.00%	45,678,954	ОН
78,207,300	118			49.96%	156,525,272	
CS: Combined Sales &	-	S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	ation	
Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
nin)						
	Assessed Value Assessed Value 43,222,800 12,146,000 22,838,500 78,207,300 CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal	Classification	CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal Study RA: Reappraisal No. of Parcels True Cash Value True Cash Value True Cash Value True Cash Value True Cash Value	CITY OF ROSEVILLE Classification	CITY OF ROSEVILLE CITY OF ROSEVILLE Classification Sample % Ratio Assessed Value Assessed Value Assessed Value Assessed Value Appraisals Assessments to Appraisals Assessments to Appraisals Assessments to Assessments to Appraisals Assessments to Appraisals Assessments to Assessments to Appraisals Assessments to Assessments to Appraisals Assessments to Assessments to Assessments to Appraisals Assessments to Assessments to Assessments to Appraisals Assessments to Assessments t	COUNTY

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY	1	CITY OF ST.	. CLAIR SHORES	7	2019	2020
Assessment Ro	Il Classification	Sample			% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
		 			 		
200 Commercial	268,835,066	93	55,412,752	26,351,800	47.56%	565,254,554	AS
			-		-		
300 Industrial	11,910,700	10	2,698,591	1,310,500	48.56%	24,527,801	AS
					17.700		
400 Residential	1,838,526,743	2,309			45.50%	4,040,718,116	S2
500 Timber-Cutover	-				-		NC
600 Developmental	1						NC
TOTAL BEAL	2.110.272.500	2.442			45.770/	4 622 522 474	
AS: Appraisal Study	2,119,272,509 CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		45.77% RV: Record Verifica	4,630,500,471	1
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit	ation	
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
ES: Estimated Values (Explain							
Remarks:							

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	3 COUNTY		CITY OF ST.	CLAIR SHORES	2019	2020	
Assessment Roll Classification			Sample		% Ratio		
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		27	2,613,517	1,311,800	50.19%		AU
		74	16,797,538	8,395,500			RV
	26,405,500	101	19,411,055	9,707,300	50.01%	52,800,440	
350 Industrial		1			50.00%		AU
		4	890,902	445,400			RV
	746,200	5	890,902	445,400	49.99%	1,492,699	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	35,827,000	8	71,652,013	35,827,000	50.00%	71,652,013	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	62,978,700	114			50.00%	125,945,152	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	tion	

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

THE INDUSTRIAL AUDIT STUDY WAS ON FORM 5076-NO TRUE CASH VALUE

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		CITY OF STEI	RLING HEIGHTS		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	ll Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Domanica Tuna of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial	889,298,000	115	165,328,019	75,122,000	45.44%	1,957,081,866	AS
300 Industrial	530,518,350	40	47,053,389	22,248,200	47.28%	1,122,077,728	AS
400 Residential	4,099,192,075	3,378			46.98%	8,725,398,201	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
odo Bevelopinentai							110
TOTAL - REAL	5,519,008,425	3,533			46.75%	11,804,557,795	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verific	ation	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified ES: Estimated Values (Explai	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

Remarks:

County Name	1	City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY	d	CITY OF STE	RLING HEIGHTS		2019	2020
Assessment Rol	Il Classification	Sample			% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural		,					NC
_							
		<u> </u> "			<u> </u>		
250 Commercial	<u> </u>	31	5,494,603	2,739,900			AU
		135	47,606,798	23,804,100	11		RV
	117,295,700	166	53,101,401	26,544,000	49.99%	234,638,328	
350 Industrial		13	1,994,426	980,800	49.18%		AU
		34	13,790,800	6,895,300	50.00%		RV
	76,402,300	47	15,785,226	7,876,100	49.90%	153,110,822	
450 Residential							NC
		.	1				
550 Utility							
	85,991,900	10	171,984,154	85,991,900	50.00%	171,984,154	ОН
			2.2/30./_2	33,332,522	30.0075		
			<u> </u>				
TOTAL - PERSONAL	279,689,900				49.97%	559,733,304	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verific	ation	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
ES: Estimated Values (Explai	in)						

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	COUNTY		CITY O	F UTICA		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	ll Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Domarks, Tuno of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Campagnial	122 700 400	F0	46 122 002	22.266.500	40.400/	272.024.002	A.C.
200 Commercial	132,798,400	50	46,133,993	22,366,500	48.48%	273,924,092	AS
300 Industrial	2,412,900	5	1,704,071	827,900	48.58%	4,966,859	AS
400 Residential	109,060,143	189			46.96%	232,240,509	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	244,271,443	244			47.79%	511,131,460	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica		<u> </u>
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)
Remarks:

County Name		City/Township	o Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	3 COUNTY		CITY C	F UTICA		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	oll Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		5	775,192	383,600	49.48%		AU
		31	8,327,116	4,163,900	50.00%		RV
	13,064,900	36	9,102,308	4,547,500	49.96%	26,150,721	
350 Industrial		1	50,276	20,000	39.78%		AU
		1	141,697	70,800	49.97%		RV
	90,800	2	191,973	90,800	47.30%	191,973	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	5,262,700	4	10,525,831	5,262,700	50.00%	10,525,831	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	18,418,400	42			49.96%	36,868,525	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	ation	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
ES: Estimated Values (Expla	ain)						

	City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
COUNTY		CITY OF	WARREN		2019	2020
Classification		Sample		% Ratio		December Times of Charles for
Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	No. of True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to True Cash Value Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
						NC
	<u></u>					
663,434,110	208	181,938,730	87,913,050	48.32%	1,373,001,055	AS
662,623,930	104	39,888,145	18,424,690	46.19%	1,434,561,442	AS
2.742.952.090	3.010			45 C40/	F 046 246 224	63
2,/13,853,009	3,919			45.04%	5,940,210,234	S2
						NC
						110
						NC
4,039,911,129	4,231			46.15%	8,753,778,731	
CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verificat	tion	
Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
RA: Reappraisal	I	OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
)						
C	COUNTY Classification Assessed Value 663,434,110 662,623,930 2,713,853,089 2,713,853,089 CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal	Classification Assessed Value No. of Parcels 663,434,110 208 662,623,930 104 2,713,853,089 3,919 2,713,853,089 4,039,911,129 4,231 CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal	Assessed Value No. of Parcels	COUNTY Classification Assessed Value No. of Parcels 663,434,110 208 181,938,730 87,913,050 662,623,930 104 39,888,145 18,424,690 2,713,853,089 3,919 2,713,853,089 4,039,911,129 4,039,911,129 4,039,913	CITY OF WARREN CITY OF WARREN Sample Sample Sample Sample Assessed Value Assessed Value Assessed Value Assessments to Appraisals Appraisal Au Audit Au Audit	COUNTY CITY OF WARREN Sample % Ratio Assessed Value Assessed V

County Name		City/Townshi	p Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	COUNTY		CITY OF	WARREN		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	II Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		20	4,225,946	2,108,089	49.88%		AU
		139	26,347,625	13,171,548	49.99%		RV
	167,710,810	159	30,573,571	15,279,637	49.98%	335,555,842	
350 Industrial		11	1,518,400	750,245	49.41%		AU
		43	34,667,665	17,333,845	50.00%		RV
	85,823,942	54	36,186,065	18,084,090	49.98%	171,716,571	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	104,324,899	23	208,649,782	104,324,899	50.00%	208,649,782	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	357,859,651	236			49.99%	715,922,195	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	tion	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name		City/Townshi	p Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		ARMADA	TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	II Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
100 Agricultural	35,355,200	33	11,012,327	5,380,800	48.86%	72,360,213	AS
	42.557.200	1.0	1.707.100	2 222 222	10.000/	25.000.000	
200 Commercial	12,657,200	16	4,735,109	2,338,300	49.38%	25,632,240	AS
300 Industrial	16,671,800	13	8,161,763	3,918,500	48.01%	34,725,682	AS
400 Residential	226,387,800	117			46.54%	486,437,043	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	291,072,000	179			47.01%	619,155,178	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica		
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified ES: Estimated Values (Expla	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
Remarks:							

County Name		City/Townsh	ip Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		ARMADA	TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	II Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study fo
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		2			50.00%		AU
		12	2,323,102	1,161,200	49.98%		RV
	1,866,500	14	2,323,102	1,161,200	49.98%	3,734,494	
350 Industrial							
		4	1,900,091	950,100	50.00%		RV
	5,492,800	4	1,900,091	950,100	50.00%	10,985,600	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	97,709,200	12	195,418,412	97,709,200	50.00%	195,418,412	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	105,068,500	30			50.00%	210,138,506	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verific	ation	1
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study	,	CT: Class Transfer		
ES: Estimated Values (Expla	ain)						
Remarks: THE COMMERCIAL AUDIT	STUDY WAS ON FORM	5076-N∩	TRUE CASH VALUE				
THE COMMENCIAL AUDIT	I CIGDI WAG ON I ONW	0070-110	THOL OAOTI VALUE				

County Name		City/Townshi	ip Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOM	B COUNTY		BRUCE T	OWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro Class of Real Property	Oll Classification Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	Sample True Cash Value	Assessed Value	% Ratio Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural	19,114,800		7,409,153	3,505,900	47.32%	40,394,759	AS
200 Commercial	18,625,100	20	8,584,631	4,181,800	48.71%	38,236,707	AS
	60,000,000	10	2 222 255	1.115.120	10.250/	440 740 570	
300 Industrial	69,303,900	18	9,028,266	4,446,100	49.25%	140,718,579	AS
400 Residential	476,176,056	277			45.95%	1,036,291,743	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	583,219,856	332			46.45%	1,255,641,788	
AS: Appraisal Study NW: New Class NC: None Classified ES: Estimated Values (Exp Remarks:	CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal ain)		S1: One Year Sales Study S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study		RV: Record Verific AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer	ation	

II NO OF II II ACCACEMENTS TO II I I ACCACEMENTS TO II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			City/Township Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
Class of Real Property Assessed Value Parcels True Cash Value Assessed Value Assessments to Appraisals True Cash Value Remarks	MACOMB CO	COUNTY	BRUCE	E TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Class of Real Property Assessed Value No. of Parcels True Cash Value Assessed Value Assessments to Appraisals ITUE Cash Value 150 Agricultural 4 245,138 122,500 49.97% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99% 49.99%	Assessment Roll Clas	Classification	Sample		% Ratio		Domonius, Tuno of Chudu fo
250 Commercial 4 245,138 122,500 49.97% 19 6,127,203 3,063,800 50.00% 5,096,900 23 6,372,341 3,186,300 50.00% 10,193,800 350 Industrial 3 3 385,903 192,900 49.99% 14 7,210,136 3,605,100 50.00% 5,984,700 17 7,596,039 3,798,000 50.00% 11,969,400 450 Residential 550 Utility 550 Utility	f Real Property	Assessed Value	II True Cash Value	Assessed Value		True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study fo Each Class
19 6,127,203 3,063,800 50.00% 5,096,900 23 6,372,341 3,186,300 50.00% 10,193,800 350 Industrial 3 385,903 192,900 49.99% 49.99% 450 Residential 17 7,596,039 3,798,000 50.00% 11,969,400 550 Utility 550 Utility 10	Agricultural						NC
19 6,127,203 3,063,800 50.00% 5,096,900 23 6,372,341 3,186,300 50.00% 10,193,800 350 Industrial 3 385,903 192,900 49.99% 14 7,210,136 3,605,100 50.00% 50.00% 11,969,400 450 Residential 7,596,039 3,798,000 50.00% 11,969,400 550 Utility 550 Utility 550 Utility 550 Utility 50.00% 50.00% 50.00%	Commercial		4 245,138	122,500	49.97%		AU
5,096,900 23 6,372,341 3,186,300 50.00% 10,193,800 350 Industrial 3 385,903 192,900 49.99% 14 7,210,136 3,605,100 50.00% 5,984,700 17 7,596,039 3,798,000 50.00% 11,969,400 450 Residential 550 Utility 550 Utility				1			RV
14 7,210,136 3,605,100 50.00% 5,984,700 17 7,596,039 3,798,000 50.00% 11,969,400 450 Residential 550 Utility		5,096,900			50.00%	10,193,800	
5,984,700 17 7,596,039 3,798,000 50.00% 11,969,400 450 Residential 550 Utility 550 Utility 5,984,700 17 7,596,039 3,798,000 50.00% 11,969,400) Industrial		3 385,903	192,900	49.99%		AU
450 Residential			14 7,210,136	3,605,100	50.00%		RV
550 Utility		5,984,700	0 17 7,596,039	3,798,000	50.00%	11,969,400	
	Residential						NC
36,160,100 14 72,321,840 36,160,100 50.00% 72,321,840	50 Utility						
		36,160,100	0 14 72,321,840	36,160,100	50.00%	72,321,840	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL 47,241,700 54 50.00% 94,485,040					50.00%	94,485,040	
S: Appraisal Study CS: Combined Sales & S1: One Year Sales Study RV: Record Verification		CS: Combined Sales &			RV: Record Verifica	ation	

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		CHESTERFIE	LD TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Rol	l Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Bomarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural	7,334,700	23	5,604,529	2,700,600	48.19%	15,220,378	AS
200 Commercial	173,118,400		20,767,784	9,861,100		364,613,311	
big box/apt	71,804,100	23	143,608,200	71,804,100	50.00%	143,608,200	MTT decision values
	244,922,500	62			48.19%	508,221,511	29.32% of class
300 Industrial	156,753,100	30	12,294,817	5,774,600	46.97%	333,730,253	AS
	-						
400 Residential	1,617,442,600	1,364			46.58%	3,472,397,166	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	2,026,452,900	1,479			46.80%	4,329,569,308	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &	1,473	S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verific		
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		

ES: Estimated Values (Explain) Remarks:

603, Page 2 L-4018R

State Tax Commission Analysis for Equalized Valuation - Real Property Stratified Study

Classification T	ype: Comm	ercial		County Na	me: Macomb		Local Unit Na	ame: Chesterfield Tov	vnship		
Stratified Study Worksheet											
Α	В	С)	E	F	G	Н	I		
Sub Group Name	Study Type Code	No. of Parcels Used in Sample	Current Year Value of S	's Assessed ub Group	Total Assessed Value of Parcels Used in Sample	True Cash Value of Parcels Used in Samp	Study % le Ratio	Sub Group Projected True Cash Value	Remarks		
Big Box Retail	AS	11	49,01	3,600	49,013,600	98,027,200	50 %	98,027,200	Recent MTT-20% class		
Apartment	AS	12	22,79	0,500	22,790,500	45,581,000	50 %	45,581,000	Recent MTT-9.3% class		
							%				
							%				
							%				
							%				
							%				
							%				
							%				
		·					%				
STUDY TO	TALS:	J	P	(L	М	N		
See instructions determine Strati Totals for J, K,	ified Study	23	71,80	4,100			50.0%	143,608,200	29.32% of com class		

Stratified Study Worksheet Instructions:

When the "Stratified Study" box for a real property class is checked (X) on page 1 of this form, complete the following steps for each Sub Group within the Stratified Study: **NOTE:** A separate "Stratified Study Worksheet" (Page 2) must be completed for each real classification that stratifies a classification's study.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING COLUMNS FOR EACH STRATIFIED STUDY SUB GROUP:

Column A: Enter the appropriate name of the sub group. Note; if stratifying out a single parcel, a parcel number may be used.

Column B: Enter the appropriate code for the study type of the study group sample.

Column C: Enter the number of parcels that are included in the study group sample.

Column D: Enter the current year's assessed value that is applicable to the parcel and sub group(s). **NOTE:** The total for this column should be the total assessed value for the classification (see Column K).

Column E: If an Appraisal Study was conducted, enter the assessed value of the parcels used in the sample. NOTE: For a sales study no data entry required.

Column F: If an Appraisal Study was conducted, enter the true cash value of the parcels used in the sample. NOTE: For a sales study no data entry required.

Column G: Enter the ratio for the sub group. The "Study % Ratio" can be calculated two different ways depending on the type of study conducted: (1) divide "D" by "H" or (2) divide "E" by "F." **NOTE:** For a sales study enter the ratio from Form 2793 (L-4017/L-4047).

Column H: Enter the projected true cash value for an estimated study. For a sales study or appraisal study divide "D" by "G."

Column I: "Remarks": This field is utilized to provide remarks to describe the sub group.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE STRATIFIED STUDY TOTALS:

Column J: Enter the total sum of column C "No. of Parcels Used in Sample".

Column K: Enter the total sum of column D "Current Year's Assessed Value of Sub group". The total in "K" is also entered on page 1 of this Form into the "Assessed Value" field under the "Assessment Roll Classification" heading for the appropriate real property class. NOTE: The total for this column should match the ending assessed value from the current Form 2164 (L-4023) for the classification.

Column L: The total is calculated by dividing the total in "K" by the total in "M." The total "Study % Ratio" is then entered on page 1 of the form into the "% Ratio Assessments to Appraisals" field for the appropriate real property class. NOTE: The total "Study % Ratio" is not equal to the sum of the ratios in column G.

Column M: Enter the total sum of column "H". The total in "M" is then entered on page 1 of the form in the "Projected True Cash Value" field for the classification.

Column N: Enter study remarks (a brief explanation why the stratified study was used). These study remarks should also be entered on page 1 of this form.

ounty Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	COUNTY		CHESTERFIE	LD TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	II Classification	Sample			% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study fo
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		15	2,329,962	1,164,600	49.98%		AU
		64	19,556,500	9,775,600	49.99%		RV
	38,189,500	79	21,886,462	10,940,200	49.99%	76,394,279	
350 Industrial		4	282,720	141,400	50.01%		AU
		24	4,463,700	2,231,800	50.00%		RV
	23,085,500	28	4,746,420	2,373,200	50.00%	46,171,000	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	25,733,000	13	51,465,963	25,733,000	50.00%	51,465,963	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	87,008,000	120			50.00%	174,031,242	

AS: Appraisal Study CS: Combined Sales &

S1: One Year Sales Study RV: Record Verification

NW: New Class Appraisal Study S2: Two Year Sales Study
NC: None Classified RA: Reappraisal OH: One Hundred % Study

AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

ITV	y Name City/Township Name CLINTON TOWNSHIP					
NIT	l	CLINTON	TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
fication Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	No. of True Cash Value Assessed Value Assessme		% Ratio Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
						NC
798,180,300	126	99,728,570	48,584,600	48.72%	1,638,301,108	AS
175,931,500	63	33,863,863	15,804,700	46.67%	376,969,145	AS
2,538,480,900	2,752			46.54%	5,454,406,747	S2
						NC
						NC
, , ,		C1. One Vear Sales Study		47.02%	7,469,677,000	
Appraisal Study eappraisal	!	S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer	uon	
A:	798,180,300 175,931,500 2,538,480,900 3,512,592,700 mbined Sales & appraisal Study	798,180,300 126 175,931,500 63 2,538,480,900 2,752 3,512,592,700 2,941 mbined Sales & appraisal Study	No. of Parcels 798,180,300 126 99,728,570 175,931,500 63 33,863,863 2,538,480,900 2,752 3,512,592,700 2,941 mbined Sales & S1: One Year Sales Study S2: Two Year Sales Study	No. of Parcels True Cash Value Assessed Value 798,180,300 126 99,728,570 48,584,600 175,931,500 63 33,863,863 15,804,700 2,538,480,900 2,752 3,512,592,700 2,941 mbined Sales & S1: One Year Sales Study S2: Two Year Sales Study	No. of Parcels True Cash Value Assessed Value Assessments to Appraisals	No. of Parcels True Cash Value Assessed Value Assessments to Appraisals True Cash Value Assessments to Appraisals

County Name		City/Township	o Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	COUNTY		CLINTON	I TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	ll Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		41	8,695,933	4,345,400	49.97%		AU
250 commercial		122	36,551,362	18,276,700			RV
	77,554,900		45,247,295	22,622,100		155,109,800	
350 Industrial		12	3,016,849	1,510,600	50.07%		AU
		129	32,291,316	16,146,300	50.00%		RV
	15,527,100	141	35,308,165	17,656,900	50.01%	31,047,990	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	51,458,800	14	102,917,638	51,458,800	50.00%	102,917,638	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	144,540,800	318			50.00%	289,075,428	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verific	ation	

Appraisal Study

NW: New Class NC: None Classified RA: Reappraisal S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

МАСОМВ СО	City/Township Name				ll l	Study Year	Equalization Year
	JUNTY		HARRISON	I TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Roll Cla Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value Assessed Value		Assessments to	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial	111,793,300	44	16,340,916	7,782,500	47.63%	234,711,946	AS
300 Industrial	35,924,500	30	15,073,382	7,165,400	47.54%	75,566,891	AS
400 Residential	1,021,388,759	870			46.95%	2,175,481,915	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL AS: Appraisal Study CS:	1,169,106,559 : Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		47.03% RV: Record Verifica	2,485,760,752	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study x: Reappraisal		S1: One Year Sales Study S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study		AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer	tion	

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		HARRISOI	N TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	ll Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		7	643,212	321,400	49.97%		AU
		38	3,844,888	1,921,500			RV
	5,676,800	45	4,488,100	2,242,900	49.97%	11,360,416	
350 Industrial		4	485,157	242,600			AU
		6	1,644,069	822,100	50.00%		RV
	1,891,400	10	2,129,226	1,064,700	50.00%	3,782,800	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	13,394,800	2	26,789,500	13,394,800	50.00%	26,789,500	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	20,963,000	57			49.99%	41,932,716	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verific	ation	

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		GROSSE P	OINTE SHORES		2019	2020
Assessment Rol	l Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	o True Cash Value	
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial							NC
300 Industrial							NC
400 Residential	14,395,100	72			46.19%	31,164,971	S2
400 Nesidential	14,333,100	,,,			40.1370	31,104,371	32
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	14,395,100	72			46.19%	31,164,971	

AS: Appraisal Study CS: Combined Sales & S1: One Year Sales Study RV: Record Verification

NW: New Class Appraisal Study S2: Two Year Sales Study AU: Audit
NC: None Classified RA: Reappraisal OH: One Hundred % Study CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

Romarks

Due to lack of sales located within Macomb County borders, the resulting ratio of the residential sales study by Wayne County is used against the 40+ properties in Macomb.

ounty Name		City/Townshi	p Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		GROSSE PO	INTE SHORES		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	ll Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study fo
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial							
		1	59,005	29,500			RV
	32,900	1	59,005	29,500	50.00%	65,800	
350 Industrial							NC NC
450 Residential							NC
450 Residential							INC
550 Utility							
	314,300	2	628,564	314,300	50.00%	628,564	ОН
	32 1,300	_	020,000	01.,000	00.0070	020,000	
TOTAL - PERSONAL	347,200	3			50.00%	694,364	
S: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verificat	ion	
W: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
C: None Classified S: Estimated Values (Expla	RA: Reappraisal in)		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
emarks:							

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		LENOX T	OWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	II Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
100 Agricultural	44,567,700	51	14,210,796	6,699,600	47.14%	94,543,275	AS
200 Commercial	57,543,800	33	17,719,264	8,526,500	48.12%	119,583,957	AS
300 Industrial	22,613,100	12	4,312,211	2,149,200	49.84%	45,371,388	AS
400 Residential	224,887,840	192			47.06%	477,874,713	S2
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					,- , -	-
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	349,612,440	288			47.41%	737,373,333	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica		
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name		City/Township	p Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	B COUNTY		LENOX ⁻	TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	oll Classification	Sample			% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		7	459,253	227,500	49.54%		AU
		25	9,086,964	4,543,600			RV
	7,371,000	32	9,546,217	4,771,100	49.98%	14,747,899	
350 Industrial		2	170,776	90,300	52.88%		AU
		8	12,752,293	6,376,000	50.00%		RV
	8,566,500	10	12,923,069	6,466,300	50.04%	17,119,305	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	23,986,800	28	47,974,866	23,986,800	50.00%	47,974,866	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	39,924,300	70			50.00%	79,842,070	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verific	ation	

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name	ı	City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		MACOMB	TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Roll Class of Real Property	Classification Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	Sample True Cash Value	Assessed Value	% Ratio Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural	9,924,100	9	3,796,791	1,913,900		19,686,769	AS
200 Commercial	240,899,200	50	66,779,399	30,496,300	45.67%	527,477,994	AS
300 Industrial	72,758,300	22	16,972,212	7,704,000	45.39%	160,295,880	AS
400 Residential	3,977,151,340	2,269			47.15%	8,435,103,584	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL AS: Appraisal Study	4,300,732,940 CS: Combined Sales &	2,350	S1: One Year Sales Study		47.04% RV: Record Verifica	9,142,564,227	
NW: New Class NC: None Classified ES: Estimated Values (Explai	Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal		S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study		AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer	luon	
Remarks:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	3 COUNTY		MACOMI	B TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	oll Classification		Sample				Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		20	4,139,323	2,058,900	49.74%		AU
250 COMMETCIAN		74	18,063,008	9,017,100			RV
	35,458,100	94	22,202,331	11,076,000		71,072,560	
350 Industrial		4	300,463	150,300	50.02%		AU
		13	3,208,713	1,604,200	50.00%		RV
	14,531,000	17	3,509,176	1,754,500	50.00%	29,062,000	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	65,755,000	21	131,510,318	65,755,000	50.00%	131,510,318	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	115,744,100	132			49.97%	231,644,878	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &	S1: One Year Sales Study RV: Record Verifi				ation	

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	COUNTY		RAY TO	OWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	ll Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
100 Agricultural	42,015,200	46	13,807,040	6,907,200	50.03%	83,980,012	AS
200 Commercial	9,567,700	33	3,436,249	1,783,000	51.89%	18,438,427	AS
300 Industrial	5,925,600	16	4,254,153	2,072,700	48.72%	12,162,562	AS
400 Residential	197,285,100	97			46.50%	424,269,032	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL AS: Appraisal Study	254,793,600 CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		47.28% RV: Record Verific	538,850,033	

AS: Appraisal	l Study
---------------	---------

NW: New Class NC: None Classified

Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal

S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

					Study Year	Equalization Year
COUNTY	RAY TOWNSHIP				2019	2020
Classification	Sample			% Ratio		Damanda, Tima of Children
Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study fo Each Class
						NC
	3	165,152	82,600	50.01%		AU
	12		· ·			RV
2,409,400			836,500		4,817,836	
			·		,	NC
	<u> </u>			<u> </u> -		
						NC
18,345,800	23	36,691,288	18,345,800	50.00%	36,691,288	ОН
20,755,200	38			50.00%	41,509,124	
CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	ation	
Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study	/	CT: Class Transfer	-	
n)						
	2,409,400 2,409,400 18,345,800 20,755,200 S: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study A: Reappraisal	Assessed Value No. of Parcels	Assessed Value No. of Parcels	No. of Parcels True Cash Value Assessed Value	Assessed Value No. of Parcels True Cash Value Assessed Value Assessments to Appraisals	Assessed Value No. of Parcels True Cash Value Assessed Value Assessments to Appraisals

County Name		City/Township	p Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	COUNTY		RICHMON	D TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro Class of Real Property	Il Classification Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	Sample True Cash Value	Assessed Value	% Ratio Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural	37,515,800	41	10,659,451	5,401,800		74,024,862	AS
200 Commercial	5,551,500	6	3,658,150	1,702,100	46.53%	11,931,012	AS
300 Industrial	2,064,600	8	2,098,944	1,050,800	50.06%	4,124,251	AS
400 Residential	155,545,485	87			47.22%	329,405,940	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	200,677,385	142	<u> </u>		47.84%	419,486,065	
AS: Appraisal Study NW: New Class NC: None Classified ES: Estimated Values (Expla	CS: Combined Sales & Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal iin)		S1: One Year Sales Study S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study		RV: Record Verific AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer		

ounty Name		City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	B COUNTY		RICHMON	D TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Ro	oll Classification	Sample			% Ratio		Darrage Tuno of Children
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
	_						
250 Commercial		1	14,133	2,900			AU
		8	1,468,936	734,500	50.00%		RV
	1,065,000	9	1,483,069	737,400	49.72%	2,141,995	
350 Industrial		1	106,065	51,000	48.08%		
		4	143,531	71,700	49.95%		RV
	71,700	5	249,596	122,700	49.16%	145,850	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	33,440,600	17	66,880,988	33,440,600	50.00%	66,880,988	ОН
	_						
TOTAL - PERSONAL	34,577,300	31			49.99%	69,168,833	
S: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &	-	S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verificat	tion	
IW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
IC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
S: Estimated Values (Expla	ıin)						
temarks:							

County Name	The state of the s	City/Township	Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY		SHELBY '	TOWNSHIP		2019	2020
Assessment Rol	Il Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Domontos Tuno of Chudu for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
100 Agricultural							NC
200 Commercial	639,982,850	102	130,142,909	62,224,650	47.81%	1,338,596,214	AS
300 Industrial	241,547,700	73	73,529,461	33,438,900	45.48%	531,107,520	AS
400 Residential	3,406,136,630	1,903			47.19%	7,217,920,386	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL - REAL	4,287,667,180	2,078			47.18%	9,087,624,120	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	tion	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
ES: Estimated Values (Explai	in)						
Remarks:							

County Name		City/Township	o Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY	SHELBY TOWNSHIP				2019	2020
Assessment Roll Classification		Sample			% Ratio		Domontos Tuno of Chudu for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		29	5,007,184	2,503,750			AU
		113	30,267,167	15,133,700			RV
	68,999,450	142	35,274,351	17,637,450	50.00%	137,998,900	
350 Industrial		9	645,264	322,400	49.96%		AU
		21	7,003,434	3,501,700	50.00%		RV
	17,023,100	30	7,648,698	3,824,100	50.00%	34,046,200	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
	67,063,200	12	134,126,104	67,063,200	50.00%	134,126,104	ОН
TOTAL DEDCOMAL	152.005.750	184			F0.009/	206 174 204	
TOTAL - PERSONAL	153,085,750 CS: Combined Sales &	184	C1. One Veer Cales Study		50.00%	306,171,204	
AS: Appraisal Study NW: New Class			S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verific AU: Audit	ation	
NC: None Classified	Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal		S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		
			On. One numarea % Study		Ci. Class Transfer		
ES: Estimated Values (Explai	<u> </u>						

County Name		City/Township) Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOMB	COUNTY	WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP				2019	2020
Assessment Rol	Classification		Sample		% Ratio		Remarks: Type of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Each Class
100 Agricultural	16,846,700	15	5,051,260	2,564,300	50.77%	33,182,391	AS
200 Commercial	135,064,900	41	32,809,289	15,629,000	47.64%	283,511,545	AS
300 Industrial	31,201,700	10	4,260,302	2,060,000	48.35%	64,532,989	AS
400 Residential	1,486,295,825	605			47.35%	3,138,956,336	S2
500 Timber-Cutover							NC
600 Developmental							NC
TOTAL DEAL	1 660 400 435	C71			47.420/	2 520 462 264	
AS: Appraisal Study	1,669,409,125 CS: Combined Sales &	671	S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	3,520,183,261	
NW: New Class NC: None Classified	Appraisal Study RA: Reappraisal		S2: Two Year Sales Study OH: One Hundred % Study		AU: Audit CT: Class Transfer	uon	

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)

County Name		City/Township	o Name			Study Year	Equalization Year
MACOME	COUNTY	WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP				2019	2020
Assessment Roll Classification		Sample			% Ratio		Domonica Tuno of Study for
Class of Real Property	Assessed Value	No. of Parcels	True Cash Value	Assessed Value	Assessments to Appraisals	True Cash Value	Remarks: Type of Study for Each Class
150 Agricultural							NC
250 Commercial		11	1,044,731	514,900	49.29%		AU
		57	17,147,253	8,572,800			RV
	14,918,600	68	18,191,984	9,087,700	49.95%	29,867,067	
350 Industrial		4	1,542,668	772,100	50.05%		AU
		16	41,784,168	20,891,400	50.00%		RV
	27,957,900	20	43,326,836	21,663,500	50.00%	55,915,800	
450 Residential							NC
550 Utility							
330 Othicy							
	56,533,100	20	113,065,758	56,533,100	50.00%	113,065,758	ОН
TOTAL - PERSONAL	99,409,600	108			49.99%	198,848,625	
AS: Appraisal Study	CS: Combined Sales &		S1: One Year Sales Study		RV: Record Verifica	tion	
NW: New Class	Appraisal Study		S2: Two Year Sales Study		AU: Audit		
NC: None Classified	RA: Reappraisal		OH: One Hundred % Study		CT: Class Transfer		

ES: Estimated Values (Explain)